U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT Tuchman Cleaners - Removal Polrep

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region V

Subject:

POLREP #7

Progress PolRep Tuchman Cleaners

B5ZU

Indianapolis, IN

Latitude: 39.8369420 Longitude: -86.1210940

To:

Sherry Fielding, U.S. EPA Jason El-Zein, U.S. EPA Sam Borries, U.S. EPA Mark Durno, U.S. EPA Charlie Gebien, U.S. EPA John Glover, U.S. EPA Thomas Marks, U.S. EPA

Yolanda Bouchee-Cureton, U.S. EPA

Peter Felitti, U.S. EPA Cheryl McIntyre, U.S. EPA Francisco Arcaute, U.S. EPA

Janet Pope, U.S. EPA Nuria Muniz, U.S. EPA Mark Johnson, ATSDR

Valencia Darby, Department of Interior

Lindy Nelson, U.S. DOI

USCG PolRep Distribution, USCG

Chris Worden, Office of Congressman Andre Carson

Gregory Porter, State Representative

Joseph Simpson, City-County Council

Harry Atkinson, IDEM

Gabriele Hauer, IDEM

Max Michael, IDEM

Rex Osborn, IDEM

Dawn Groves, IDEM

Steven Meyer, City of Indianapolis

Dana Reed-Wise, Marion County Public Health Department

Pam Thevenow, Marion County Health Department Jeff Larmore, Marion County Health Department Fred Schwoymeyer, Indianapolis Fire Department

Mike Woida, Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department

Ann McIver, Citizens Energy Group

From:

Shelly Lam, On-Scene Coordinator

Date:

11/5/2012

Reporting Period: October 29 - November 2, 2012

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Site Number:

B5ZU

Contract Number:

EP-S5-09-05

D.O. Number:

106

Action Memo Date:

8/16/2012

Response Authority: CERCLA

Response Type:

Time-Critical

Response Lead:

Mobilization Date:

EPA

Incident Category: **Operable Unit:**

Removal Action

NPL Status:

Non NPL

9/17/2012

9/17/2012

Start Date: **Completion Date:**

Demob Date: CERCLIS ID:

INN000510530

RCRIS ID:

IND982425662

ERNS No.:

State Notification:

FPN#:

Reimbursable Account #:

1.1.1 Incident Category

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) incident category: Inac Production Facility

1.1.2 Site Description

The following sections provide information on the site location, description of threat, and site assessment resu

1.1.2.1 Location

The Tuchman Cleaners site is located at 4401 N. Keystone Avenue in Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, 4 The Site is located in an area northeast of downtown Indianapolis that is commercial and residential. Approxir 10,000 people live within one mile of the Site. The Fall Creek well field is less than ¼ mile from the Site. Fall a major tributary to the White River, is located approximately 500 feet south of the Site. The geographical coc for the Site are latitude 39.836942 ° north and longitude 86.121094° west.

1.1.2.2 Description of Threat

A release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants is present at the Site. The U.S. Environmenta Protection Agency (EPA) documented the presence of hazardous substances as defined by section 101(14) c CERCLA, including tetrachloroethene (PCE), trichloroethene (TCE), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (DCE), vinyl chlori chloroform, and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane; and pollutants and contaminants as defined by 101(33) of CERCL/ Hazardous substances are present in soil, groundwater, and soil vapor. Possible exposure routes to hazardo substances include dermal contact with contaminated surface and subsurface soil during excavation activities inhalation of contaminated air that has migrated through subsurface soil and groundwater, i.e. vapor intrusion; ingestion of contaminated drinking water. Potential human receptors include future on-Site workers and nearly residents, including children in a day care adjacent to the Site.

1.1.3 Preliminary Removal Assessment/Removal Site Inspection Results

At the request of the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), EPA performed Stassessments January 24 – 27, 2011 and April 9-10, 2012. EPA and the Superfund Technical Assess and Response Team (START) contractor collected seven subsurface soil samples for volatile organic compounds (VOC) and Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP) VOC analysis; ten ground samples from existing monitoring wells for VOC analysis; and nine soil gas samples, two of which vocallected on-Site and seven of which were collected off-Site in a residential neighborhood about ¼ n west of the Site.

EPA compared soil results to May 2012 Regional Screening Levels (RSL) for industrial soil. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachlor was detected in one sample at a concentration of 11 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), above the RSL of 2.8 mg/kg. All samples were below the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA) criteria for toxicity. Historic analytical results documented that PCE was detected in near-surface soil (1 foot below ground surface (bgs)) maximum concentration of 2,400 mg/kg.

Groundwater results were compared to Superfund Removal Actions Levels (RAL), which were developed for contaminated drinking water sites. The groundwater at Tuchman is not a drinking water source but could pote migrate into the drinking water supply in the Fall Creek well field. Six of the ten monitoring wells sampled cont VOCs above the Superfund RALs; these VOCs included cis-1,2-DCE, PCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride. PCE wa detected at a maximum concentration of 49,000 micrograms per liter (ug/L). Historical results indicated that P detected in groundwater at a maximum concentration of 135,000 ug/L in groundwater monitoring well MW-2i. was detected at a maximum concentration of 2,960 ug/L.

Soil gas data was collected at the site and in a residential area to the west. The results were compared to soi screening levels for a 10⁻⁴ cancer risk as established in EPA's Vapor Intrusion Screening Level (VISL) spreads which were then converted from units of micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³) to parts per billion by volume (prusing standard atmospheric temperature and pressure and the molecular weight of each chemical constituent of the nine soil gas samples contained VOCs above the VISL screening levels; these VOCs included chlorofol propylbenzene, PCE, and TCE. PCE was detected at a maximum concentration of 36,000 ppbv.

EPA conducted an extent-of-contamination survey September 17 - 19, 2012. EPA divided the Site into 25-foc and collected soil samples from each grid to determine the extent-of-contamination in soil. Analytical results in that three grids exceeded the criteria for hazardous waste. Results in those grids ranged from 18,000 to 2,30 ug/kg for total PCE. EPA will use a conservative approach in waste disposal and will manage grids adjoining hazardous grids as hazardous waste.

EPA's "contained-in" policy states that environmental media contaminated with a hazardous waste must be m as if they were hazardous wastes until they no longer contain the listed waste, no longer exhibit a characterist are delisted. In accordance with the contained-in policy, a determination as to whether or not "listed" waste is contained-in soil or groundwater may be made by authorized states based on whether constituents from listed are below health-based levels. IDEM has determined that contamination levels specified in the *Risk Integrated System of Closure (RISC)* system represent appropriate health-based levels for determining if soil or groundw contain "listed" hazardous waste. Specifically, soil concentrations must be below the toxicity characteristic and Industrial Soil Direct Level. PCE-contaminated soil is considered hazardous waste if it is above 0.7 milligrams (mg/L) for TCLP PCE or 16,000 ug/kg for total PCE. PCE-contaminated soil between the Residential Soil Direct Level may be managed as non-hazardous waste; this corresponds to 9,900 to 16,00 for total PCE. Soil below 9,900 ug/kg for total PCE is below the Residential Soil Direct Level and may be left in the properties of the proper

2. Current Activities

2.1 Operations Section

2.1.1 Narrative

Tuchman Cleaners operated as a dry cleaner at the Keystone facility beginning in 1953 until 2008 when the p company declared bankruptcy. Historical operations at the site caused releases of dry cleaning solvents, prin PCE, to soil and groundwater.

Prior to the construction of the dry cleaning facility, the property was an empty lot. In January 2012, the City c Indianapolis completed demolition of the on-site building to assist with EPA's time-critical removal.

2.1.2 Response Actions to Date

During the reporting period, EPA accomplished the following:

- Conducted vapor intrusion sampling at two properties;
- Continued disposal of soil from the D1 and I4 excavations;
- Conducted air monitoring using an AreaRAE network for VOCs and DataRAMs connected to VIPER f
 particulates;
- Conducted a geophysical survey using a Geonics EM-31 ground conductivity meter to locate additionunderground storage tanks (UST);
- Received analytical results from the vapor instrusion assessment for additional properties (see below)
- Maintained site security during off-site hours.

To date, EPA received analytical results from the vapor intrusion assessment for 20 properties. Ten propertie VOCs above indoor air screening levels established by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registr (ATSDR). EPA will install vapor mitigation systems at residential properties that are above the screening levels. Seven properties had contaminants detected in sub-slab samples, which were below screening levels indoor air samples. EPA will resample those properties in six months. Samples from three properties did not significant contamination in the sub-slab and were below indoor air screening levels; these properties do not r further action.

Maximum concentrations for chemicals above screening levels in indoor air included 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene a ppbv; chloroform at 1.8 ppbv; PCE at 22 ppbv; and TCE at 1.3 ppbv. Screening levels for indoor air are 1.5 pl 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene; 0.09 ppbv for chloroform; 6 ppbv for PCE; and 0.45 ppbv for TCE.

2.1.3 Enforcement Activities, Identity of Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs)

EPA has issued General Notice Letters and/or 104(e) requests to 11 different parties. Based on the informatic received, none of the parties is either liable or have the financial resources to conduct the work. Thus, EPA d intend to issue an order because the former owner is in Chapter 11 receivership.

2.1.4 Progress Metrics

The waste stream metrics are current for waste disposed through November 1, 2012.

Waste Stream	Medium	Quantity	Manifest #	Treatment	•
NA3077, Hazardous Waste Solid, NOS, (F002), 9, PGIII	Soil	1567 tons	Various	None	Wayne Disposa

R5 Priorities Su	mmary	
This is an Integrated River Assessment.	Miles of river systems cleaned and/or restored	
	Cubic yards of contaminated sediments removed and/or capped	
	Gallons of oil/water recovered	
	Acres of soil/sediment cleaned up in floodplains and riverbanks	NA
Stand Alone Assessment	Acres Protected	2.2
	Number of contaminated residential yards cleaned up	
	Human Health Exposures Avoided	
	Number of workers on site	8
Contaminant(s) o	f Concern	
Contaminant(s) of Concern	PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, vinyl chloride, chloroform, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroet	hane

Green Initiatives

EPA and its contractors are practicing the following Green Initiatives:

- Using recycled paper products;
- Producing electronic 1900-55's instead of printing;
- Double-sided printing;
- Utilizing a water cooler instead of bottled water;
- Using electricity from the grid instead of a generator;
- Using rechargeable batteries;
- Established a no-idling policy for vehicles; and
- Recycling paper, cardboard, plastic, glass, aluminum, ink, and batteries.

2.2 Planning Section

2.2.1 Anticipated Activities

EPA is conducting the following response actions to mitigate threats posed by the presence of hazardous sub at the Tuchman Cleaners Site: develop and implement a Site Health and Safety Plan and a Site Security Plan remove contaminated soil that poses a direct contact threat; backfill excavated areas with clean impermeable conduct vapor intrusion assessment at residential properties and an adjacent day care; perform vapor intrusio mitigation at properties where relevant indoor air action levels are exceeded in accordance with current EPA

guidance; and consolidate and package hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants for transportation off-site disposal in accordance with the EPA Off-Site Rule, 40 C.F.R. § 300.440.

2.2.1.1 Planned Response Activities

During the next reporting period, EPA will continue vapor intrusion sampling and begin excavating Grid E5. w installing vapor mitigation systems on November 6th. EPA will shut down the site on November 11, 2012.

2.2.1.2 Next Steps

EPA will dispose of contaminated soil from Grid E5 and surrounding grids once excavation is complete.

2.2.2 Issues

None

2.3 Logistics Section

The Emergency and Rapid Response Services (ERRS) contractor is providing logistical support.

2.4 Finance Section

2.4.1 Narrative

EPA issued delivery order 106 to the ERRS contractor on September 4, 2012 in the amount of \$1,500,000. T represented below include incurred and pending costs through November 1, 2012.

Estimated Costs *

	Budgeted	Total To Date	Remaining	% Remaining
Extramural Costs				, , , ,
ERRS - Cleanup Contractor	\$1,500,000.00	\$724,091.55	\$775,908.45	51.73%
TAT/START	\$75,000.00	\$59,500.00	\$15,500.00	20.67%
Intramural Costs				
				334 6 4 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Total Site Costs	\$1,575,000.00	\$783,591.55	\$791,408.45	50.25%

^{*} The above accounting of expenditures is an estimate based on figures known to the OSC at the time this repwritten. The OSC does not necessarily receive specific figures on final payments made to any contractor(s). C financial data which the OSC must rely upon may not be entirely up-to-date. The cost accounting provided in the report does not necessarily represent an exact monetary figure which the government may include in any claim cost recovery.

2.5 Other Command Staff

2.5.1 Safety

On September 17, 2012, the Health and Safety Plan (HASP) was finalized and signed by all site personnel. *A* personnel on-site are attending daily health and safety briefings

2.5.2 Liaison Officer

Not applicable (NA)

2.5.3 Information Officer

During previous reporting periods, EPA sent fact sheets and access agreements to nearby residents and busi to inform them of work at the site and to request access for vapor intrusion sampling. In addition, EPA conduction door-to-door engagement with community residents. EPA hosted a public meeting on October 3, 2012, and conducted radio and television interviews.

3. Participating Entities

3.1 Unified Command

NA

3.2 Cooperating Agencies

ATSDR IDEM

Marion County Public Health Department

City of Indianapolis

Citizens Energy

4. Personnel On Site

The following personnel were on-site during the reporting period.

Agency	Position	# Personnel
EPA	osc	1
ERRS	Response Manager	1
	Equipment Operator	1
	Laborer	2
On-Site monitoring START and documentation support		1
Subcontractors	Security	1

5. Definition of Terms

ATSDR	Agency for	Toxic Substances	and Disease Registry
AIODI	August 101	I UNIC GUDGLANCES	alia Discase Nedisliv

bgs below ground surface

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

DCE Dichloroethene

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERRS Emergency and Rapid Response Services

HASP Health and Safety Plan

IDEM Indiana Department of Environmental Management

mg/kg milligrams per kilogram

mg/L milligrams per liter

NA Not Applicable

OSC On-Scene Coordinator

PCE Tetrachloroethene

PolRep Pollution Report

ppbv parts per billion by volume PRP Potentially Responsible Party

RAL Removal Action Level

RCRA Resource Conservation Recovery Act
RISC Risk Integrated System of Closure

RSL Regional Screening Levels

START Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team

TCE Trichloroethene

TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure

ug/L micrograms per liter

ug/m³ micrograms per cubic meter UST Underground Storage Tank Vapor Instrusion Screening Level VISL

VOC Volatile Organic Compounds

6. Additional sources of information

6.1 Internet location of additional information/report

For additional information, refer to www.epaosc.org/tuchman or http://www.epa.gov/region5/cleanup/tuchman/inde

6.2 Reporting Schedule

The next Pollution Report (PolRep) will be submitted the week of November 11, 2012.

7. Situational Reference Materials

NA